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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Various factors were reported to be responsible for influencing color perception during shade matching. These factors include lighting conditions and viewer's physiological variables. There is limited information regarding the effect of eye dominance on color perception in the dental literature.

Objectives: To evaluate the effect of eye dominance on color perception among dental students in Alexandria University with normal color vision using Farnsworth- Munsell 100- hue test and the corresponding software.

Materials and Methods: One hundred undergraduate dental students without congenital color deficiency were evaluated using Ishihara plates upon their voluntary participation. Out of these 100 participants, 43 were males and 57 were females. The dominant eye of each participant was determined using Miles test. Color perception evaluation was examined using Farnsworth –
Munsell 100 hue test (FM 100 hue test). The data were tabulated and statistically analyzed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test ($\alpha=0.05$).

**Results:** Statistical tests showed significant difference between the dominant eye and non-dominant eye in male participants ($P=.031$) and no significant difference between the dominant eye and non-dominant eye in female participants ($P=.691$).

**Conclusions:** The dominance of the eye had a significant effect on the color perception in male participants.
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**Running title:** The effect of eye dominance on color perception.

**INTRODUCTION**

Proper shade matching is one of the most challenging goals in clinical dentistry (1); hence it requires a thorough understanding of color harmony and color variations (2). Nowadays, many methods are used to assess shade matching. These methods are either visual or instrumental (3).

When light hits an object, the object absorbs some of the light and reflects the rest of it and the wavelengths of reflected light determine what color you see (4). There are two types of photoreceptors which are responsible for capturing the light, rods and cones. The rod receptors mediate vision at low illumination level, while the cone receptors mediate vision at daylight levels and are responsible for color perception. The retina has three types of receptors, one receptor is sensitive to long wavelengths of the light (red light), another to medium wavelengths of the light (green light) and the third to short wavelengths of the light (blue light) (5).
Various factors are reported to be responsible for influencing color perception during shade matching. These factors include color blindness, aging, fatigue, nutrition, emotions, medications and binocular difference, which is the perception difference between the right eye and the left eye (6). Eye dominance is the tendency to prefer visual sensations in one eye more than the other eye (7). The images are seen more clearly and larger while seeing with the dominant eye. Moreover, the dominant eye was found to be superior to non-dominant eye in visual acuity and motor functions (8). Thus, identification of dominant eye is very important (9).

The Farnsworth- Munsell 100 hue test (FM-100) was designed to evaluate color discrimination between the right eye and the left eye among persons with normal color vision. The Farnsworth-Munsell 100 hue test consists of four separate boxes where there are a total of 85 movable caps and 2 fixed caps at the beginning and the end of each box. The caps are numbered from 1 to 85 on their back (5).

No previous work had been conducted in this point in the dental field. Thus, this study was conducted to evaluate the effect of eye dominance on color perception among dental students. The null hypothesis was that eye dominance would not affect the color perception during shade matching.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was performed at the Faculty of Dentistry; Alexandria University after an approval was obtained from the committee of Ethics and Protection of Human subjects. One hundred dental students 43 males and 57 females participated in this study according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. All participants have signed a consent form before starting.
Inclusion criteria

- Dental students with age range from 18 to 25 years old.
- Dental students with normal color vision.

Exclusion criteria

- Dental students with congenital color vision deficiency (identified by Ishihara plates).
- Dental students with history of ocular surgery, presence of ocular disease such as strabismus, retinal pathology.
- Dental students who would not agree to participate in the test.

The study was carried under the same conditions for all participants. A quiet room with constant lighting conditions using a uniform artificial light source. This method was done to simulate the normal clinical situation.

I. Color blindness test by Ishihara plates (figure 1)

The participants were given 4 seconds to identify the number of each plate of the 10 plates as illustrated in table (1). Participants with congenital color deficiency were excluded.

II. Dominant eye assessment by Miles test (figure 2)

Each participant was asked to extend both arms in front of his/her body and place their hands together to make a small triangle. With both of their eyes open, each participant was asked to look through the triangle, focus on a specific object (a door knob) about 10 feet (3 m) away and try not to move their hands. Then, each participant was asked to close their eyes alternatively. The dominant eye was the eye viewing the object.
III. Color perception assessment by Farnsworth-Munsell 100-Hue test (The FM100 test) (figure 3)

The FM100 test was prepared on a black background. The test was first performed using the dominant eye then followed by the non-dominant eye. The clinician administered the test to the participant one box at a time. The first box contains colors from red to red-orange, while the second box contains colors from yellow to yellow-green, the third box contains colors from green to green-blue, and the last and fourth box contains colors from indigo to indigo-magenta. Caps were arranged in a random order. The participant was asked to re-arrange caps in what he/she perceives to be a natural order, from the first pilot cap to the last in two minutes to five minutes. After the arrangement of the four boxes was done, the clinician flipped the caps and the data were recorded on a paper and transferred to the corresponding scoring software to calculate the total error scores. Errors are made whenever caps are misplaced from the correct order. The score for any individual cap of the FM-100 test “is the sum of the difference between the number of that cap and the numbers of the caps adjacent to it” minus 2. For example if cap number 50 is wrongly positioned between 55 and 56, then the score of this cap is \((55-50 + 56 – 50) -2 = 9\). If this cap no. 50 had been correctly positioned, then the score of that cap would have been \((50 –49 + 51 – 50)-2 = 0\). Sum of the error scores of the entire set of caps goes to make the total error score. Manual scoring of error scores is extremely time consuming and very tedious, this is why the clinician used the FMT scoring software.

IV. Calculating the total error scores

The clinician entered the data to the FMT scoring software, and the final result was obtained.

V. Statistical analysis of the data
Data were fed to the computer and analyzed using IBM SPSS software package version 20.0. Qualitative data were described using number and percent. Quantitative data were described using range (minimum and maximum), mean and standard deviation. The significance of the obtained results was judged at the 5% level. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test was used to compare between the dominant eye score and non-dominant eye score.

RESULTS

The minimum age of participants in this study was 20, while the maximum age was 22 years old. The mean age was 21.300 with a standard deviation of ±0.785. The total number of participants in this study was 100. Out of these 100 participants, 43 were males (43%) and 57 were females (57%). 63 of them had a right dominant eye while 37 had a left dominant eye.

Regarding color perception difference between the dominant eye and non-dominant eye in all participants (n=100), the error scores of the dominant eye were 44.00±32.22; while the error scores of the non-dominant eye were 47.40±30.63. There was no significant difference between the dominant eye and non-dominant eye in all participants (\(P=0.054\)), as illustrated in table (2) and figure (4).

Regarding color perception difference between the dominant eye and non-dominant eye in female participants (n=57), the error scores of the dominant eye were 38.877±29.187; while the error scores of the non-dominant eye were 38.070±24.48. There was no significant difference between the dominant eye and non-dominant eye in female participants, \((P=.691)\), as illustrated in table (3) and figure (5).

Regarding color perception difference between the dominant eye and non-dominant eye in male participants (n=43), the error scores of the dominant eye were 50.791±35.040; while the error scores of the non-dominant eye were 57.814±34.764.
was significant difference between the dominant eye and non-dominant eye in male participants, \((P=.031)\), as illustrated in table (3) and figure (5).

**Regarding comparison between females and males**, the error scores of the dominant eye in female participants \((n=57)\), were 38.877±29.187; while the error scores of the dominant eye in male participants \((n=43)\), were 50.791±35.040. There was no significant difference in the dominant eye scores between female participants and male participants, \((P=.070)\).

The error scores of the non-dominant eye in female participants \((n=57)\), were 38.070±24.48; while the error scores of the non-dominant eye in male participants \((n=43)\), were 57.814±34.764. There was significant difference in the non-dominant eye scores between female participants and male participants, \((P=.005)\).

**DISCUSSION**

Proper shade determination continues to be one of the most difficult and frustrating problems in fixed prosthodontics (13). Even though visual shade determination is the most frequently applied method in dentistry (14), the numerous variables involved make it hard for proper shade determination. These variables include lighting conditions and viewer's physiological variables (15).

Color disparity between a person's eyes is crucial and should be accounted for (6). Thus, the present study was conducted to evaluate the effect of eye dominance in color perception using Farnsworth-Munsell 100-hue test and the corresponding software.

It has been established that color perception declines with age because of change in the absorption of light by the ocular media such as the lens, retinal specialized cells (cones), as well as the reduction in pupil size (16).
In order to minimize the aging effect on the eye, dental students belonging to the same age group were chosen to participate in this study. In addition to their similar age range, they have little or no familiarity with color matching.

A sample size included 100 undergraduate dental students from Alexandria University with age group range from 18 to 25 years old. A consent form was signed by all the participants.

Ishihara test was used in this study as it is the gold standard for quick diagnosis of congenital color deficiencies (17-19). Farnsworth-Munsell 100-Hue test was used in this study as it was reported to be the most sensitive and reliable test for the determination of the color vision discrimination ability to distinguish colors in detail in healthy subjects (20, 21).

There was no significant difference between the dominant eye and non-dominant eye in all participants ($P=0.054$). This was in agreement with of Costa et al (22) who examined 36 students at the Institute of Psychology of the University of São Paulo and found that there were no significant statistical differences between color perceptions measured in the dominant eye, compared with those measured in the non-dominant eye.

Moreover, these findings were similar to those obtained by Opper et al (23) who examine 3 participants and conducted the study at Colorado State University, Department of Psychology, United States and found that eye dominance did not appear to have an effect on color perception.

The result was not in favor with the study of Koçtek et al (24) who examined 50 students studying at Faculty of Medicine Başkent University, Ankara, Turkey and found that the error scores of the dominant eye were $58.80\pm29.92$, while the error scores of the non-dominant eye were $68.44\pm31.46$. In all participants, there were significant statistical
differences between color perceptions measured in the dominant eye, compared with those measured in the non-dominant eye ($P=0.025$). This might be due to the decreased sample size they used, as it was 50 participants, while in the present study, it was 100 participants.

There was no significant difference between the dominant eye and non-dominant eye in female participants, ($P=0.691$). This was in agreement with Koçtek et al (24) who examined 19 female students and found that the error score of the dominant eye in female participants was 51.52±31.13, the non-dominant eye was 64.42±34.62 ($P=0.074$).

There was significant difference between the dominant eye and non-dominant eye in male participants, ($P=0.031$). These findings disagreed with Koçtek et al (24) who examined 31 male students and found that the error score of the dominant eye in male participants was 63.25±28.76, the non-dominant eye was 70.90±29.68 ($P=0.153$).

There was no significant difference in the dominant eye scores between female participants and male participants, ($P=0.070$). There was significant difference in the non-dominant eye scores between female participants and male participants, ($P=0.005$).

A study tested a large group of dental students and professionals 305 females and 309 males, from different countries under the same color matching conditions and showed females achieved significantly better shade matching results than males (25). It has also been documented that females tend to be better than males at matching colors from memory (26).

Yamamoto (27) stated that because of the possibility of color disparity in the same individual, clinicians should select shades by looking at each patient from the right side of the dental unit and from the left side.
As mentioned before, cones are responsible for color perception. Curcio et al (28) found that cones are concentrated primarily in the center of the retina, and their numbers decline as one moves from the center to the periphery of the retina. The single retina has two halves, usually referred to as nasal (medial) and temporal (lateral) halves. The distribution of cones across the single retina is not uniform; cones are more numerous on the nasal side of the retina than the temporal side. So, under binocular viewing condition, color perception will not be identical for both eyes as stimuli fell upon the nasal retina of one eye and the temporal retina of the other (23).

Altintas et al (29) found that color perception may vary between dominant and non-dominant eyes. Color perception error scores were lower in dominant eyes vs. non-dominant eyes for red/green discrimination. However, eye dominance had no effect on blue/yellow discrimination. Thus, when the subjects were using their dominant eyes, they were better able to perceive red/green color than with their non-dominant eyes. Eye dominance displayed no effect on perception of blue/yellow colors.

Koçtekín et al (24) found that the color perception was found prominent for dominant eye. This superiority was attributed to higher sensitivity of the red/green local color spectral region, and concluded that dominant eye has priority in red/green color.

In the present study, in male participants, the error scores of the dominant eye were 50.791±35.040; while the error scores of the non-dominant eye were 57.814±34.764. In female participants, the error scores of the dominant eye were 38.877±29.187; while the error scores of the non-dominant eye were 38.070±24.48. According to these results color vision ability of the dominant eye was found higher than non-dominant eye in male participants; while there was no difference in the color vision ability between the dominant eye and non-dominant eye in female participants. Thus, the clinician recommended that, 1)
Dental school applicants should be screened for color vision deficiency, 2) Dental postgraduate applicants (especially prosthodontics and cosmetic dentistry) must be screened for color vision deficiency, 3) Every male dentist should know his dominant eye, 4) Because of the possibility of the difference on color perception between the dominant eye and non-dominant eye, the male dentists should select shades by looking at each patient from both sides (right and left).

CONCLUSIONS
The null hypothesis was rejected. Within the limitation of this study, it can be concluded that:

1. The dominance of the eye had a significant effect in the color perception in male participants.
2. 63% of the participants had a dominant right eye.
3. There was no difference in the color vision ability between the dominant eye and non-dominant eye in female participants.
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Table (1): Explanation of the Ishihara plates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of the Plate</th>
<th>Normal person can view the number of each plate as follows</th>
<th>Person with Red-Green Deficiencies will read the numbers as follows</th>
<th>Person with Total Color Blindness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mark x shows that the plate cannot be read.

Table (2): Showing the mean and standard deviation of the error score between the dominant eye and the non-dominant eye in all participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dominant eye score</td>
<td>0 - 132</td>
<td>44.000 ± 32.216</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Dominant eye score</td>
<td>0 - 164</td>
<td>46.560 ± 30.794</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table (3): Showing the mean and standard deviation of the error score between the dominant eye and non-dominant eye in male and female participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominant eye score</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0 - 120</td>
<td>4 - 132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>50.791 ± 35.040</td>
<td>38.877 ± 29.187</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Dominant eye score</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0 - 164</td>
<td>0 - 124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>57.814 ± 34.764</td>
<td>38.070 ± 24.483</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.031*</td>
<td>0.691</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure (1): Ishihara plates
Figure (2): Miles test

Figure (3): Farnsworth- Munsell 100- Hue test.
**Figure (4):** A bar chart showing the mean and standard deviation of the error score between the dominant eye and the non-dominant eye in all participants.

**Figure (5):** A bar chart showing the mean and standard deviation of the error score between the dominant eye and non-dominant eye in male and female participants.