DIODE LASER PHOTOCOAGUALTION AS A NOVEL METHOD FOR SOCKET SEALING DURING ALVEOLAR SOCKET PRESERVATION
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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Socket preservation is a procedure after tooth extraction to limit the post-extraction reduction of the ridge volume. Non-contact laser photocoagulation is a simple andatraumatic method used for socket sealing. Objectives: Compare the effect of diode laser photocoagulation to non-resorbable dense polytetrafluoroethylene (d-PTFE) membrane on bone dimensional changes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Thirty-six patients (n =18 for each group) with non-restorable maxillary anterior or premolar teeth were included in this study. After extraction, sites were grafted with deproteinized bovine bone xenograft and sealed either with laser photocoagulation or a non-resorbable d-PTFE membrane. Measurements of the alveolar bone width were performed on cone beam computed tomographs, taken at baseline before and four months after extraction.

RESULTS: Both groups showed a reduction in bone width. At the crest, the mean amount of reduction was 0.69 (0.33) mm and 0.78 (0.15) mm for laser and membrane groups, respectively. As for the middle third, less reduction was observed with a mean of 0.56 (0.36) mm and 0.58 (0.18) mm for laser and membrane groups, respectively. The least amount of reduction was in the apical third with a mean of 0.26 (0.36) mm and 0.41 (0.17) mm for laser and membrane groups, respectively. However, this reduction in bone dimensions was insignificant between both groups.

CONCLUSION: Laser photocoagulation is as effective as the d-PTFE membranes in sealing sockets after grafting.
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INTRODUCTION

Maintaining a healthy, functional, and esthetic natural dentition remains the main goal of periodontal therapy (1, 2). However, dental extraction is sometimes inevitable under certain circumstances. Proper handling of the extraction site aims to optimize the alveolar ridge profile either for future implant placement or tooth replacement with a fixed partial denture (3).

Histologically, the lining of socket wall contacting the periodontal ligament is composed of lamellar bone known as bundle bone (4) with a thickness of 0.2 -0.4mm (5). Bundle bone is considered to be a tooth dependent structure, meaning that tooth extraction will cause the loss of the bundle bone (4). The thickness of the buccal plate of bone in the anterior maxilla was found to be less than 1mm in most sites and 50% of the examined sites were less than 0.5 mm in thickness (6). Therefore, after tooth extraction, resorption of the buccal plate of bone is expected, mostly at the crest correlated to the disruption of its vascular supply from the periodontal ligament and prominent osteoclastic activity (7). The magnitude of this resorption declines gradually in an apical direction (8).

Tooth extraction leads to a considerable decrease in the width of the alveolar ridge up to 50%. Two-thirds of this loss occurs in the first three months (9). This reduction is more pronounced in the crest of the buccal plate of bone (10). Nonetheless, adequate alveolar ridge volume is needed for implant placement. This requires a bone width of 6-7 mm for proper positioning guided by the future prostodontic restoration (11).

The efficacy of various socket preservation treatment modalities was proved to significantly reduce the bone dimensional changes after tooth extraction. Socket grafts include autogenous bone, allografts, xenografts, alloplasts , polylactic acid and polyglycolic acid sponges (12, 13).

Earlier studies of ridge preservation techniques demonstrated good dimensional preservation using deproteinized bovine bone mineral (DBBM) and was recognized as a gold standard biomaterial for alveolar socket preservation over the past 10 years (14,15). Araujo et al. reported that using bovine bone in alveolar socket preservation resulted in less ridge resorption compared to that of autogenous bone (16). The main goals comprise the limitation of post-extraction ridge volume alterations and the stimulation of healing of soft and hard tissue (17).
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However, socket preservation techniques may minimize, but do not completely prevent the collapse of the alveolar process. Therefore, limited alveolar process deficiency will be expected even after alveolar socket preservation (12, 18).

Type I extraction sockets according to Elian classification in 2007 have an intact labial plate of bone and no soft tissue recession. Therefore, it does not require a mucoperiosteal flap elevation, only bone graft particles are packed into the socket after atrumatic extraction and socket debridement, then sealed with barrier membranes or soft tissue grafts (19, 20).

Dense polytetrafluoroethylene (d-PTFE) membranes are also widely used in socket preservation procedures due to their small pore size <0.2 μm that resists bacterial invasion into their structure and can be left exposed intraorally with a low risk of infection (21). Therefore, they are effective without complete soft tissue coverage, as flap advancement yields increased postoperative pain and narrower zones of keratinized tissue (22).

The use of diode lasers in soft tissue surgery in the oral cavity is extremely helpful because they are highly absorbed by the tissue chromophores, such as melanin, hemoglobin, and collagen, also because of their ability to cut, coagulate and achieve hemostasis (23).

Diode laser photocoagulation is a touchless technique by which the laser power output of 1-3 watts is released in a continuous mode over the target area at a distance of 2 to 3 mm from the surface and coagulates to a depth of approximately 7 to 10 mm (24,25). The efficacy of the 980 nm diode laser photothermal coagulation has previously been investigated experimentally by Mirdan et al. in the coagulation of non-grafted extraction sockets to provide a dressing to the wound site by the formation of a stable blood clot (26).

The null hypothesis of the present study was that the effect of diode laser photocoagulation in sealing the alveolar sockets during socket preservation is similar to the effect of the non-resorbable d-PTFE membrane and that both methods are equally effective in preserving the underlying bone graft particles to control the postextraction ridge dimensional changes.

The aim of this randomized control clinical trial was to compare the effect of diode-laser photocoagulation to the effect of d-PTFE membrane in the preservation of the horizontal bone width after socket preservation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was approved by the research ethics committee of the Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University, and registered in ClinicalTrials.gov with the protocol ID: NCT04353999.

Thirty-six patients (14 males, 22 females, aged 22-50 years) participated in this randomized controlled clinical trial from March 2019 to March 2020. They were recruited from the outpatient clinic of the Oral Medicine, Periodontology, Oral Diagnosis And Oral Radiology department, faculty of dentistry, Alexandria University in 2019.

The inclusion criteria were; non-restorable maxillary anterior and premolar teeth for untreated caries, endodontic treatment failure or root fracture with no acute symptoms. Type I post-extraction sockets with all bony walls intact (1). All participants had a good standard of oral hygiene, as determined by the registration of an O’Leary Plaque Index (2) of less than or equal to 10% after phase I therapy.

The exclusion criteria were; the presence of any systemic disease that could complicate bone and soft tissue healing of the grafted socket or interfere with extraction such as tooth ankylosis, smoking, the presence of bone dehiscence and fenestrations of the post-extractive alveolus (Type II and III sockets) (19). Subjects who had undergone radiation therapy or who were under bisphosphonate therapy were also excluded.

All patients who signed the informed consent and matched the eligibility criteria were randomly allocated using a computer random allocation software (28) into two study groups with a ratio of 1:1. In both groups, socket preservation was achieved using bovine bone xenograft????. In group 1, d-PTFE membrane (Permamem, Botiss) was used to seal the sockets after bone grafting, whereas for group 2, 980 nm diode laser photocoagulation was used to seal the sockets after grafting.

Each allocation was represented by a code representing the study group that it was assigned to, and was sealed in sequentially numbered opaque envelopes that will be only opened at the time of intervention by the supervisor.

The enrolled participants were equally prepared for the surgical procedure prior to opening the envelope on the day of surgery.

Participants were blinded from their study group they were assigned to. Blinding of the operator was not applicable whereas the outcome assessor and the statistician were blinded.

Maxillary alginate impressions were taken for all patients to fabricate a radiographic stent that the patient will wear during the preoperative radiograph.

Radiographic evaluation and radiographic stent fabrication

After alginate impressions were poured using extra hard stone, 2 holes were then drilled on the occlusal aspect of the remaining root in the mid buccal and mid palatal points using a No1/4 rosehead bur mounted on a low-speed straight handpiece with a direction of drilling parallel to the long axis of the ridge contour on the cast. 2 wires were inserted in 2 holes parallel to each other then a condensation silicone putty was used afterward to block the undercut and relieve the stent away from the tissue contours on the cast. This was done to ease the identification of the soft tissue contour on the radiographs as the stent and the soft tissue have similar radiodensities.

A 2mm hard vacuum sheet was then pressed over the cast and adapted around the wires. The stent was then removed from the cast, the excess tray material was removed and the edges were finished. The wires extending out of the stent were also trimmed away from the tissues (Fig.1).

Imaging

A preoperative CBCT was made for the tooth to be extracted while the patient wore the radiographic stent for the examination of the alveolar housing around the tooth to
match the inclusion criteria of having an intact buccal plate of bone, classified as type I extraction socket (19). An (iCAT FLX V17 cone beam computed tomography machine, USA) was used for the procedure. Patients matching the clinical and radiographic eligibility criteria were then enrolled into the study and provided with a detailed explanation of the procedure and its benefits. Once the patients approved the intervention and compliance to the recall visits, they were given written informed consent translated to Arabic to sign.

**Surgical procedure**

Oral hygiene instructions were given to the enrolled patients. Patients were randomly assigned to one of the two groups preoperatively on the day of the surgery. After administration of local anesthesia, a crestal incision was done around the tooth to be extracted using a 15c blade (Swan Morton, England). A periotome (Nordent, USA) was then used to luxate the tooth by severing the periodontal ligament, then maxillary anterior or premolar forceps were used to extract the tooth atraumatically to preserve the alveolar housing and the soft tissue. The socket was then irrigated with sterile saline and 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate and curettage were done using a bone curette in the periapical area to ensure removal of any granulation tissue (Fig.2).

A periodontal probe was used after that to examine the integrity and continuity of the buccal plate of bone. Bovine bone xenograft was then mixed with sterile saline to form a consistent mass and then packed into the extraction socket packed up just up to the alveolar bony margins not extending to the soft tissue margins of the socket. Scrapping was then performed for soft tissue margins to initiate bleeding over the graft particles. In group I, a 980 nm diode laser (Doctor smile, Italy) was applied in a circular motion to the blood formed at the socket orifice at a power of 1W for 1 minute with a continuous wave mode. Application was done without touching the pooling blood and with a non-activated fiber optic tip. The laser application cycle was repeated 3-5 times to yield a stable fixed blood clot covering the bone graft particles with no oozing blood from its periphery (Fig.3).

In group II, a d-PTFE membrane (Permamem, Botiss biomaterials, Germany) was trimmed to the size of the socket orifice and stabilized a figure of eight suture to the soft tissue margins with 6-0 vicryl (Unicryl) (Fig.4).

**Postoperative instructions**

All patients were instructed to avoid biting and tooth brushing on the surgical site for one week while maintaining a soft diet for the same period. Oral hygiene measures were followed using 0.12 Chlorhexidine gluconate (DG wash. Manufactured by Alesraa for pharmaceuticals and Chemical industries Cairo – A.R.E) twice daily for two weeks. 50 mg of Diclofenac sodium was prescribed 3 times daily for 1 week (Cataflam 50 mg): Manufactured by Novartis (Swiss multinational pharmaceutical company) as an analgesic and anti-inflammatory drug. Amoxicillin 875 mg/ clavulanic acid 125 mg (Augmentin1gm: GSK GlaxoSmithKline, England) one tablet every 12 hours for 1 week as a broad-spectrum antibiotic.

**Clinical follow-up**

Effect of laser photocoagulation in socket preservation. Patients were recalled after the second, third, and fourth weeks for the examination of the soft tissue healing (Fig.5). For group II patients, the sutures were removed in the first recall visit, 2 weeks postoperatively.

**Radiographic follow-up**

After 4 months, a CBCT was made to measure the width of the alveolar ridge at the surgical site using the radiographic stent for the standardization of measurements in the exact cross-section of the preoperative measurements. (Fig. 6)

**Statistical analysis**

All quantitative data were presented using mean and standard deviation. Bone width at the cervical, middle, and apical areas were compared using student’s t-test. The paired-samples t-test was used to investigate the differences in terms of bone width between the pre- and post-operative procedures. Mann Whitney U test was applied for percent change comparisons. Statistical significant level was set at p-value≤0.05.

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS version 25.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).
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Figure (3): Non-contact laser application with a 320-micrometer fiberoptic tip.

Figure (4): (a) A pre-operative picture of a non-restorable maxillary lateral incisor. (b) Atraumatic extraction using periotomes. (c) Packing the bovine bone xenograft into the socket to the level of the alveolar bone (d) Suturing the membrane to the soft tissue margins of the socket by a figure of eight 6-0 Vicryl suture.

Figure (5): (a) The healing of the soft tissue after 2 weeks for the membrane group. (b) The healing of the soft tissue after 3 weeks for the membrane group. (c) The healing of the soft tissue after 2 weeks for the laser group. (d) The healing of the soft tissue after 3 weeks for the laser group.

Figure (6): Showing the radiographic picture of the test group (on the left) and the control group (on the right) at baseline and after 4 months. The stent with the parallel wires used to standardize the cross-section on the CBCT.

RESULTS
This study was performed on thirty-six patients, including fourteen males and twenty-two females, who had hopeless non-restorable maxillary anterior or premolar teeth. The age ranged from 25 to 48 years old with a mean age of 38 years old. The patients were examined clinically after two weeks and radiographically after four months postoperatively.

Clinical follow up
Concerning the test group, two cases had early exposure of the graft particles in the first week after the surgery. That probably took place due to early clot dislodgment leaving an erythematous area with oozing blood from the socket and bare connective tissue. However, epithelialization took place by the third week with no bleeding and minimal areas of exposed connective tissue. Then complete keratinization of the crestal tissue over the socket took place by the fifth week. Due to the previous complication, the healing time extended to the fifth week whereas the rest of the cases exhibited complete keratinization a week earlier. The rest of the cases went uneventful throughout the healing follow up visits showing a well-organized fibrin clot on the first week. After two weeks, a mature connective tissue plug was present in socket orifice with incomplete keratinization. By the third week, epithelialization from the surrounding tissues started to cover the socket connective tissue plug. After four weeks, complete keratinization of the tissues covering the socket took place. Whereas, for the control group four cases had early membrane loss after the first week among which one case had delayed wound healing exhibiting an erythematous zone of friable granulation tissue with exposed bone graft particles after losing the membrane. By
the fourth week, symptoms of erythema and sloughing subsided leaving a uniform socket plug formed of well-organized tissue with incomplete keratinization. By the sixth week, complete keratinization took place. The rest of the cases went uneventful and showed a mature socket plug after two weeks during the membrane removal with no bleeding or oozing from the socket. Presenting with areas of mature connective tissue with incomplete keratinization in the membrane removal visit. Healing proceeded eventually throughout the third week and complete keratinization of the tissues covering the socket took place after 4 weeks.

**Radiographic follow up**

Horizontal ridge dimension was examined at the crest, middle third and apical third on the pre- and post-operative cone-beam computed tomographs to compare the bone dimensional changes between the two study groups. The mean horizontal bone thickness at the alveolar crest in the test group was 6.68 (1.36) mm at the baseline and reduced significantly to 5.99 (1.43) mm after 4 months (P=0.001). Similarly, the mean of the control group was 7.37 (1.04) mm and reduced to 6.59 (1.00) mm after 4 months (P=0.001). The mean difference between the two groups was 0.69 (0.33) and 0.78 (0.15) for the test and control groups, respectively. However, this difference was non-significant (P=0.31). The percent reduction in horizontal bone thickness at the alveolar crest was 10.76 (5.70)% for the test group, whereas, it was reduced by 10.66 (5.41)% in the control group. Yet, no significant difference was found (P=0.94). Table (1)

The mean horizontal bone thickness of 3 mm apical to the alveolar crest in the test group was 7.29 (1.41) mm at the baseline and reduced significantly to 6.73 (1.39) mm after 4 months (P=0.001). Similarly, the mean of the control group was 7.81 (1.49) mm and reduced to 7.23 (1.41) mm after 4 months (P=0.001). The mean difference between the 2 groups was 0.56 (0.36) and 0.58 (0.18) mm for the test and control groups, respectively. However, this difference was non-significant (P=0.86). The percent reduction in horizontal bone thickness of 3 mm apical to the alveolar crest was 7.73 (4.34)% for the test group, whereas, it was reduced by 7.42 (1.83)% in the control group. Yet, no significant difference was found (P=0.77). Table (2)

The mean horizontal bone thickness of 6 mm apical to the alveolar crest in the test group was 7.33 (1.51) mm at the baseline and reduced significantly to 7.07 (1.50) mm after 4 months (P=0.008). Similarly, the mean of the control group was 8.58 (1.83) mm and reduced to 8.17 (1.73) mm after 4 months (P=0.001). The mean difference between the 2 groups was 0.26 (0.36) and 0.41 (0.17) mm for the test and control groups, respectively. However, this difference was non-significant (P=0.12). The percent reduction in horizontal bone thickness of 6 mm apical to the alveolar crest was 3.44 (4.69)% for the test group, whereas, it was reduced by 4.70 (1.78)% in the control group. Yet, no significant difference was found (P=0.29). Table (3)

**Table 1:** Horizontal bone thickness at the alveolar crest at baseline and 4 months postoperatively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bovine bone xenograft+laser photocoagulation (n=18)</th>
<th>Bovine bone xenograft+d-PTFE membrane (n=18)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>6.68 (1.36)</td>
<td>7.37 (1.04)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 4 months</td>
<td>5.99 (1.43)</td>
<td>6.59 (1.00)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistical significance at p value ≤0.05

**Table 2:** Horizontal bone thickness 3 mm apical to the alveolar crest at baseline and 4 months postoperatively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bovine bone xenograft+laser photocoagulation (n=18)</th>
<th>Bovine bone xenograft+d-PTFE membrane (n=18)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>7.29 (1.41)</td>
<td>7.81 (1.49)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>6.73 (1.39)</td>
<td>7.23 (1.41)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistical significance at p value ≤0.05

**Table 3:** Horizontal bone thickness 6 mm apical to the alveolar crest at baseline and 4 months postoperatively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bovine bone xenograft+laser photocoagulation (n=18)</th>
<th>Bovine bone xenograft+d-PTFE membrane (n=18)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>7.33 (1.51)</td>
<td>8.58 (1.83)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>7.07 (1.50)</td>
<td>8.17 (1.73)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistical significance at p value ≤0.05

**DISCUSSION**

This study was conducted on thirty-six patients divided randomly into two study groups, and each group had 18 participants who all continued to the last radiographic follow up with no dropouts. In the laser group, two cases had delayed wound healing after socket preservation in the maxillary premolars. This could be attributed to the large
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socket orifice or the early dislodgement of the sealing blood clot due to mechanical trauma or lack of compliance to the post-operative instructions and the oral hygiene measures. The complications that occurred were graft particle exposure on the second-week post-surgically and pain in the surgical site that was controlled with simple analgesics. All other cases in the laser group went uneventful showing a plug of well-organized fibrous connective tissue that sealed the orifice of the socket on the second week follow up. Whereas in the d-PTFE membrane group, four cases had an early loss of the membrane in the first week, which could be due to mechanical friction during mastication, yet only one had delayed wound healing up to the sixth week. Another complication that occurred in the membrane group was the rotation of the membrane. When examined after two weeks, two cases had rotated membranes that caused a cleft in the mid buccal soft tissue which remained present after complete soft tissue healing after the fourth week. This could be attributed to the loosening of one of the sutures stabilizing the membrane at its 4 corners. However, no signs of inflammation or delayed healing were sustained beyond the first month.

Bovine bone xenograft was the material of choice in the current study due to its availability, osteoconductive properties, and its space maintenance capacity that holds it superior to autogenous bone graft in minimizing the alveolar bone post-extraction dimensional changes (15,16). The follow-up period for radiographic analysis was determined to be 4 months comparatively with similar socket preservation studies with bovine xenograft to standardize the comparison of the outcomes (15,29).

The choice of the proposed technique for sealing the socket after bone grafting using a 980nm diode laser was based upon its simplicity and availability for a wide range of practitioners. The non-contact laser photocoagulation with a fixed power output is a replicable flapless procedure with less working time and tissue interferences as it comprises no introduction of additional biomaterials than the bone graft requiring less operator training and technique sensitivity. This method was initially tested experimentally by Mirdan et al (26) for its effectiveness of producing a stable blood clot in non-grafted sockets to stimulate bone and soft tissue healing and limit the postoperative complications and was proven to be effective. Yet, no human studies were reported in the literature for similar research to test the efficacy of the 980nm diode laser photocoagulation in sealing sockets after socket preservation. The protocol used in the present study for blood coagulation over the graft particles is a modification of the photocoagulation protocol used in human studies for the treatment of vascular lesions that involves the use of 3-watt power output (25). The power used in the proposed method was downgraded to 1 watt to achieve a dual effect of coagulation and biomodulation simultaneously by the effect named as low-level laser therapy (LLLT) to employ its benefits in tissue oxygenation, cellular proliferation and healing (30). There are upper and lower thresholds between which LLLT is effective. The amount of laser energy is either too weak to have any effect outside of these thresholds, or too strong that causes harmful effects to occur that outweigh its benefits (31). The dose of laser irradiation needed to achieve a stable sealing clot varied according to the size of the socket orifice. The watt output was fixed throughout all cases, so increasing the irradiation time was the implemented procedure for larger socket orifices. Maxillary lateral incisors needed almost 3 cycles of a 1 minute noncontact 1-watt continuous wave irradiation while canines and premolars need up to 5 or 6 cycles.

The present study is the first human randomized controlled trial to assess the effect of the non-contact laser photocoagulation as a socket sealing method on the alveolar bone dimensional changes during socket preservation and to compare it to another conventional method. The impact of the 980nm diode laser photocoagulation was proven effective in sealing alveolar sockets after socket preservation with bovine bone xenograft regarding the limitation of the underlying bone dimensional changes. However, it requires the availability of the laser device, good patient compliance post-surgically, and a prepared clinical setting for the prevention of laser operational hazards.

For the control group, open healing with the non-resorbable d-PTFE membrane was chosen as a comparable flapless approach due to the small pore size of the membrane and the good tissue compatibility that permit the incomplete wound closure to cover the membrane (21, 22). One important clinical difference in the use of d-PTFE membranes than the expanded PTFE (e-PTFE) is the ease of removal. In the case of the e-PTFE membranes, the pore size permits fiber penetration during healing, which lately requires its dissection from the surrounding tissues during retrieval, whilst d-PTFE membranes do not permit internal tissue integration into the membrane structure (32).

Another important feature of the d-PTFE membranes is their capacity of cell occlusiveness, which prevents epithelial and bacterial cells from entering the healing sites which improves bone regeneration in the grafted sockets, and provides better results with respect to socket preservation (33). Therefore, we chose to use d-PTFE in the control group of this clinical study. On the other hand, d-PTFE membranes required better operational skill with larger armamentarium than laser photocoagulation for membrane trimming and suturing which demand more operating time as well.

The mean reduction in bone width at the crest after four months was 0.69 (0.33) mm and 0.78 (0.15) mm for the test and control groups, respectively. However, the difference between the two groups was non-significant (P=0.31). Similar findings were reported by Cardaropoli et al. in sockets grafted using bovine xenograft and covered with a collagen membrane. A mean horizontal reduction of 0.7 mm was found in the test group and a 4 mm reduction in the negative control group undergoing unassisted healing (34). This result is also supported by the earlier and the latest systematic review and meta-analysis by Avila et al. who reported that minimal changes of about 1 mm were detected in the horizontal dimension by different grafting materials as xenogenous and allogenous bone substitutes after socket preservation in type I sockets (12, 18).

Previous systematic reviews by Tan et al., (2012) studied dimensional changes in non-grafted sockets and reported a mean reduction of (3.79) mm in the bone width at the alveolar crest after six months (35), comparable values were reported by Lang et al. and Jambhekar et al.
who reported a mean horizontal bone reduction of 2.79 mm after 3 months of healing (36, 37). The mean horizontal bone reduction in this study was 0.6 mm and 0.7 mm for the test and control group, respectively. This signifies the effectiveness of socket preservation with DBBM and denotes the success of the socket sealing methods used in our study. This resulted from the compensation of DBBM to maintain the volumetric stability of the buccal alveolar ridge contour (14). In previous clinical studies, socket preservation using d-PTEF membranes demonstrated that the mean horizontal reduction in ridge dimension ranged from -0.3 to -3.8 mm (11,14,31,32). This diversity, may be attributable to the difference in the surgical techniques, bone graft materials, and the evaluation methodology (38).

Laurito et al., performed a similar technique as the control group in this study using an open healing technique with d-PTEF membranes that were intentionally left exposed and reported a mean horizontal bone reduction of 1.6 mm (33). These findings resembled greater bone loss compared to our control group which maybe attributed to the inclusion of type II and type III sockets with buccal bone dehiscence, or the inclusion of smokers in the before mentioned studies. Flap elevation also may be considered disadvantageous due to disruption of the periosteal blood supply. Although socket preservation can be successfully performed through a flapless approach in the majority of cases of intact or minimally damaged bony walls (14). Analogous results to our findings were found by lasella et al. and Cardaropoli et al. who reported a mean horizontal reduction of 1mm after 4 months (14, 39).

This study had several limitations. Firstly, the selection criteria was only restricted for type I sockets with intact walls which may explain a mean horizontal reduction at the alveolar crest of 0.6 and 0.7 mm for the test and control groups, respectively. These values are less than those reported by other studies and including sockets with buccal bone deficiency that eventually result in more horizontal bone reduction that intact sockets. Lee et al. included sockets with 50% or less of buccal bone deficiency and reported a mean horizontal bone reduction of 1.29 mm and 1.5 mm at the crest for the test and control groups, respectively. Secondly, selecting only maxillary non-molar teeth for the study and excluding molar teeth. Studies including molar teeth reported higher values of dimensional changes (15). The findings by Sun et al. represented higher values of horizontal bone reduction with a median of 2.3 mm and 3.0 mm in the test and control groups, respectively in a sample containing molar and non-molar teeth (38).

Thirdly, smokers were not recruited in this study to minimize the risk of thermal and chemical trauma to the wound and avoid early clot dislodgment in the laser group then the exposure of the underlying particulate graft. From another aspect, studies that included smokers among the sample size reported a higher mean reduction in the ridge width after 4 months (14). The exclusion of teeth with buccal bone deficiency and large molar sockets was to primarily evaluate the new technique of laser photocoagulation in controlled and favorable parameters for socket healing in terms of socket size and integrity of the underlying bony structure. Further studies are needed to confirm the efficacy of the technique in various clinical situations. Fourthly, the influence of the buccal bone thickness on the dimensional ridge changes was not recorded in this study. Some studies correlated the increase in the thickness of the buccal plate of bone more than 1 mm with the smaller amount of dimensional changes (34). While other studies reported no correlations between the initial thickness of the buccal plate and the dimensional changes (14).

This study did not have a negative control, as it is well established in the literature that socket preservation with bovine bone xenograft is significantly effective to limit the post-extraction dimensional changes, and that socket sealing over the graft particles is a mandatory procedure to enhance the soft tissue healing and prevent graft loss (7). Favoring alveolar socket preservation compared to natural healing.

Finally, this study aimed to evaluate the effect of a novel socket sealing technique and compare it to a well established technique in the literature. The novel method was proven effective in achieving an adequate socket seal over the bone graft particles with a comparable amount of dimensional changes.

CONCLUSION
Inspite of the limitations, the null hypothesis was confirmed by the results of our study. According to our findings, it is concluded that the 980nm diode laser photocoagulation is a safe, predictable, and replicable method of achieving socket sealing over the bone graft particles. Yet, further studies are needed to evaluate the efficacy of the novel laser photocoagulation method in various clinical situations.
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