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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Myofibroblasts are modified fibroblasts that express features of smooth muscle differentiation. These cells play a key role in physiologic and pathologic processes such as wound healing and tumorigenesis, respectively. Tumor cells work in close coordination with stromal elements from its stage of emergence to metastasis. Myofibroblasts are important stromal cells that play a crucial role in carcinogenesis due to its ability to modify the extracellular matrix. Alpha smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) is regarded as the most widely used biomarker for identifying myofibroblasts. The presence of myofibroblasts has been demonstrated in various malignant lesions. However, the number of studies evaluating their role in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) remains limited.

OBJECTIVES: The present study aimed to assess the presence and distribution patterns of myofibroblasts in OSCC primary tissues according to histological grade, as well as to determine its correlation with metastasis in regional lymph nodes (LNs).

MATERIAL AND METHODS: Immunohistochemical study using the α-SMA antibody was done on 30 OSCC cases (15 cases with LN metastasis and 15 cases without LN metastasis) and 15 normal oral mucosal tissues.

RESULTS: Most of OSCC tissues showed positive immunoreactivity to α-SMA, while normal tissues were immune-negative. The expression of α-SMA was significantly higher in the OSCC with LN metastasis than OSCC without LN metastasis (P<0.05). Conversely, there was no significant correlation with the histological grades of OSCC.

CONCLUSION: α-SMA could be used as a monitoring marker in OSCC.
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INTRODUCTION

Oral cancer is a global health problem, accounting for 2–4% of all malignancies worldwide. Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is the most common oral malignancy, associated with high mortality rates (1). The prognosis of OSCC remains unfavourable, not-withstanding advances in diagnosis and therapy. The overall 5-year survival rate following treatment of oral squamous cell carcinoma is around 50% (2). The prognosis is heavily relying on the tumor-node-metastasis TNM staging system, apart from many biologic, molecular, or host characteristics that are known to influence prognosis and tumor progression. Therefore, a more detailed understanding of the underlying mechanisms that lead to aggressive behavior is necessary (3).

Researches have focused on the fact that tumor progression results from an aberrant interaction between cancer cells and their activated microenvironment (4-6). Cancer milieu consists of inflammatory cells, endothelial cells, fibroblasts, extracellular matrix (ECM), proteinases, and cytokines. Of these heterogeneous population, cytokines secreted from cancerous cells play a crucial role in oncogenesis. They increase the inflammatory response and provoke cancer angiogenesis. Furthermore, they promote differentiation of fibroblasts into myofibroblasts, where the stroma changes from normal to “activated” or “tumor associated” (7).

Myofibroblasts are large spindle-shaped cells with stress fibres and well-developed fibronexus (a cell surface specialization consisting of intracellular actin filaments and extracellular fibronectin filaments associated with subplasmalemal plaque material). They exhibit an intermediate phenotype between fibroblasts and smooth muscle cells (8). Myofibroblasts were first discovered using electron microscopy in experimental granulation tissue by Gabbiani et al., (9). In normal human tissues, they are derived mainly from fibroblasts and also from smooth muscle cells, pericytes, macrophages, hepatic stellate cells, epithelial cells and bone marrow. Therefore, myofibroblasts are extremely heterogeneous, exhibiting different phenotypes (10).
Transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-β1) cytokine plays an important role in trans-differentiation of fibroblasts into myofibroblasts, while the platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) is mainly responsible for their maturation (10). Myofibroblasts are multifunctional cell population. They are a basic component of the granulation tissue with an important role in wound healing and chronic inflammation (11). The coordinated contraction of myofibroblasts is believed to be responsible for wound contraction and closure (8). They produce chemokines, inflammatory cytokines and prostaglandins that play important role in inflammatory response. Moreover, myofibroblasts secrete adhesion molecules like intercellular cell adhesion molecule and vascular cell adhesion molecule that help lymphocytes, mast cells, and neutrophils to associate with myofibroblasts and promote immunologic and inflammatory reactions (12). Additionally, they help in ECM reorganization by the production of numerous growth factors, and proteins of the ECM, like collagen and fibronectin (11).

In carcinogenesis, trans-differentiation of fibroblasts to myofibroblasts is considered an important event that occurs in the stroma of many invasive carcinomas (13-15). Many authors used to refer cancer associated fibroblasts (CAFs) as myofibroblasts (8, 10, 15). Nowadays, CAFs are considered a family and myofibroblast is a family member (6, 16). Myofibroblasts are the most prominent stromal cell types in the tumor microenvironment (7). The tumor-promoting effect of myofibroblast is based on their capability to produce cytokines including keratinocyte growth factor, hepatocyte growth factor and fibroblast growth factor, which directly or indirectly stimulate tumor growth and invasive properties (17). Furthermore, they induce neo-angiogenesis via secretion of pre-angiogenic factors such as vascular endothelial growth factors (18). In addition, myofibroblasts secrete several enzymes such as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs- 1, 2, 3, 9, 13, and 14), which cause ECM degradation. Therefore, they promote tumor growth, invasion, angiogenesis, and metastasis (19).

Alpha-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) is regarded as the most widely used biomarker for identifying myo-fibroblastic CAFs (13). However, it cannot differentiate them from smooth muscle cells (20). Kashima et al., (21) and Cho et al., (22) reported that CAFs -detected by α-SMA antibody- increase the probability of LN metastasis in oesophageal carcinoma and papillary thyroid carcinoma, respectively. Even though the cells of tumour microenvironment have been illustrated in many cancers, the role of myofibroblasts is not yet fully understood especially in oral cancer. Therefore, the aim of the present work was to assess the presence and distribution patterns of myofibroblasts in OSCC according to histological grade, as well as to determine its correlation with regional lymph node metastasis.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Sample**

The current study was carried out in the Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University after gaining the approval of the Research Ethics Committee. It included 30 histologically confirmed cases of OSCC; 15 cases with lymph node metastasis and 15 cases without metastasis. Most of the cases were collected from the archive of the Oral Pathology Department between the period of 2015 to 2018 with few fresh tissue specimens collected from the Cranio-Maxillofacial and Plastic Surgery Department. Fifteen surgically excised normal mucosal tissues during minor surgeries were selected from the Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department serving as negative control. Informed consents were taken from the patients to participate in the study. Histological and immunohistochemical examination

The specimens were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, processed and embedded in paraffin wax using the conventional procedures. Serial sections of 3-4 μm thickness were placed on glass slides and stained using Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E). Histopathological diagnosis and grading of the cases were confirmed (23).

Immunohistochemical staining using α-SMA mouse monoclonal antibody (Bio SB, USA) was performed for both primary carcinoma specimens and resected LN to assess the presence of myofibroblasts and their distribution patterns. The conventional Labeled Strept- Avidin Biotin complex method (LSAB) was used. Immunostaining was performed on 4 μm paraffin sections. Sections were de-paraffinized with xylene and rehydrated in graded ethyl alcohol. Before the staining procedure, samples were immersed in citrate buffer solution (pH = 6). Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide for 3 minutes. Heat induced epitope retrieval was done by boiling the sections in citrate buffer solution for 10 minutes. Then the sections were cooled for 20 minutes. Sections were incubated with primary antibody (diluted 1:100; catalog no. MS-113-P0) for 1 hour at room temperature and then were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Finally, secondary antibody associated with Ultra Vision detection System was applied for 30 minutes at room temperature. Sections were washed in PBS again. The 3, 3 diaminobenzidine was applied as a chromogen for antibody detection. Sections were counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin and covered with glass slip (24).

**Morphometric analysis**

The expression of α-SMA was evaluated in terms of percentage of immune-positive stromal cells and immune-staining intensity according to the method proposed by Tuxhorn et al., (13). The percentage of immune-positive cells among the non-inflammatory and non-endothelial stromal cells present in the connective tissue of OSCC was recorded for both study groups. In each immunohistochemically stained section, five fields were randomly selected in ×10 objective lens, then cell counting was performed in ×40 objective lens using counting grid containing one hundred squares. The mean number of α-SMA positive cells per section was calculated. The percentage of immune-positive stromal cells was scored according to Kellerman's criteria (25) as follows:

0 = no positive cells, 1 = 1-33% positive cells, 2 = 34-66% positive cells, and 3 = 67-100% positive cells. Staining intensity was recorded as follows:

0 = when there was no staining;
1 = in parts, where positivity was observed only at a magnification of ×40;
2 = in cases, where the staining was obvious at ×10, but not at ×4;
3 = in fields, where immuno-positive cells were seen even at ×4.

Multiplication of the percentage and intensity scores comprised the staining index of each specimen. This index
was classified as: zero = 0, low = 1, 2, moderate = 3, 4, and high = 6–9 (13).
Considering the distribution pattern of myofibroblasts, the positive-stained cells was classified into three groups according to Vered et al (26): 1) Focal: MFs with no special arrangement in different areas of tumor stroma, 2) Network: Interwoven network arrangement of myofibroblasts in the tumor stroma, and 3) Spindle: arrangement of MFs in one to three rows in the periphery of the neoplastic islands. The sections were blindly examined by two authors and analysis of the results was performed using computer image analyser: Image J free software package (NIH, USA).

Statistical analysis
The difference in the mean α-SMA staining index between both study groups of OSCC (metastasizing and non-metastasizing) and control group was estimated using the F-test (ANOVA) and Post Hoc Test (Tukey). The correlation of α-SMA expression with the histological grading of OSCC was determined using Chi square test. A (P) value less than 0.05 was considered significant. The values were given as a mean value ± SD (standard deviation).

RESULTS
Clinical results
The age range of the patients in this study was between 38 and 74 years. Fifteen patients (50%) were males and 15 patients (50%) were females. The most common site of occurrence of OSCC was the lateral side of the tongue (36.7%), followed by the buccal mucosa (16.7%), alveolar mucosa (13.3%), and the gingiva (10%). Floor of the mouth, lower lip, tip of the tongue, and palate, were equally affected (6.7% for each). Finally, both ventral surface of the tongue and retromolar area were the least sites of OSCC occurrence (3.33% each).

Histopathological results
The microscopical examination revealed that 30% of the cases were well differentiated type, 50% were moderately, and 20% were poorly. Fifteen cases (50%) were proved histologically to be associated with positive lymph node metastasis while the other 15 cases (50%) were lymph node free.

Immunohistochemical results
All cases of normal oral mucosa (n=15) showed negative immunoreactivity to α-SMA in the connective tissue stroma except for endothelial cells lining the blood vessels (Figure 1). The connective tissue stroma of 27 OSCC biopsies were immune positive to α-SMA, while 3 OSCC cases showed negative immunoreactivity in their stroma. The stromal myofibroblasts showed positive immunoreactivity to α-SMA with different intensities.

Regarding α-SMA expression in the different histological grades of OSCC, High α-SMA staining index was seen in 4 cases (44.4%) of well differentiated OSCC, 6 cases (40%) of moderately, and 2 cases (33.3%) of poorly differentiated type (Figures 2, 3 & 4). Moderate staining index was seen in 2 cases (22.2%) of well differentiated OSCC, 4 (26.7%) cases of moderately and 3 (50%) cases of poorly differentiated OSCC. Low staining index was detected only in 2 cases of well differentiated OSCC and 4 cases of moderately differentiated type represented as 22.2% and 26.7%, respectively (Figure 5).
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Figure (4): Intense Expression of α-SMA in Metastasizing Poorly Differentiated OSCC. Notice the Arrangement of Myofibroblasts in a Network Pattern in the Connective Tissue (α-SMA x100).

Figure (5): Weak α-SMA Expression in Non-Metastasizing Moderately Differentiated OSCC. Notice the Focal Pattern of Stromal Myofibroblasts (α-SMA x100).

No statistically significant difference in the expression of α-SMA was detected among well, moderate and poorly differentiated OSCC (P > 0.05) (Table 1).

Table (1): Relation between histological grade of OSCC and score of α-SMA staining index (n = 30).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score of α-SMA staining index</th>
<th>Group A (n=15)</th>
<th>Group B (n=15)</th>
<th>Control (n=15)</th>
<th>Test of sig.</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zero</td>
<td>1 6.7</td>
<td>2 13.3</td>
<td>3 100.0</td>
<td>χ² = 44.939</td>
<td>MC p &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>6 46.2</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>4 28.6</td>
<td>5 38.5</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>10 71.4</td>
<td>2 13.3</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ²: Chi square test    MC: Monte Carlo
Mean: Mean ± SD
Median (IQR): Median (Interquartile Range)
Sign. bet. Grps: p value for comparing the three groups
*p1: p value for comparing group A and group B
*p2: p value for comparing group A and control
*p3: p value for comparing group B and control
*: Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05

Concerning α-SMA expression in primary tumor of both study groups: The metastasizing OSCC revealed high α-SMA staining index in 10 cases (71.4%) and moderate staining index in 4 cases (28.6%) (Figures 2, 3 & 4). Low staining index wasn’t detected in the metastasizing group (Table 2). In non-metastasizing OSCC, 2 cases (15.4%) only were with high staining index, 5 cases (38.5%) were with moderate staining index and 6 cases (46.2%) were with low staining index (Figure 5). The presence of myofibroblasts was significantly higher in the OSCC with LN metastasis than OSCC without LN metastasis (P <0.05) (Table 2).

Table (2): Comparison between the three studied groups according to score of α-SMA staining index.

Figure (6): Spindle Pattern of Stromal Myofibroblasts in Metastasizing Moderately Differentiated OSCC (α-SMA x400).

Meanwhile, focal distribution was the predominant pattern observed in 9 cases (60%) of OSCC with LN metastasis (Figures 2, 3, 4 & 6). Considering the distribution patterns of stromal myofibroblasts: They were mainly network in 11 cases (73.3%) and spindle in 10 cases (66.7%) of OSCC with LN metastasis.
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(P > 0.05) (Table 3).

Table (3): Comparison between the two studied groups of OSCC according to distribution pattern of stromal myofibroblast.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution pattern of stromal myofibroblast</th>
<th>Study Group A (n=15)</th>
<th>Study Group B (n=15)</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>10 66.7%</td>
<td>5 33.3%</td>
<td>3.333</td>
<td>0.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
<td>11 73.3%</td>
<td>7 46.7%</td>
<td>2.222</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal</td>
<td>3 20%</td>
<td>9 60%</td>
<td>3.472</td>
<td>0.624</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \chi^2 \): Chi square test  
p: p value for comparing between the two groups  
*: Statistically significant at p \( \leq 0.05 \).

DISCUSSION

The role of non-neoplastic stromal cells in tumor progression and spread has been studied in epithelial malignancies occurring in various anatomical locations (14, 27, 28). Myofibroblast is one of the non-neoplastic cells which has been implicated in tumor growth and spread (21).

In the present research, no myofibroblasts were detected in normal oral mucosal tissues. This was parallel to the data reported by Pinisetti et al., (10), Rao S et al., (29) and Gupta et al., (30). Additionally, the presence of myofibroblasts was significantly higher in OSCCs compared to the normal mucosa, suggesting that stromal myofibroblasts in OSCC may have an important role in the invasion of malignant epithelial cells (9). This finding was in accordance with those reported by Joshi et al., (7), Jayaraj et al., (31), and Smitha et al., (20).

The present work showed heterogeneous distribution of myofibroblasts in the tumor stroma, where they were abundant in one area and nearly absent in others within the same sample. This heterogeneity in the presence of myofibroblasts has been previously mentioned by Rao et al., (29) and Smitha et al., (20). Accordingly, this may be the reason behind \( \alpha \)-SMA was negatively expressed in one poorly differentiated case in the present study where there was very little stroma available for evaluation. The heterogeneous distribution of myofibroblasts in the tumor stroma may be attributed to varied secretion of TGF-\( \beta \) by the tumor cells which promote trans-differentiation of fibroblasts into myofibroblasts as suggested by Jayaraj et al. (31).

In the current study, the distribution of myofibroblasts was confined to the stroma immediately adjacent to the tumor islands whereas malignant cell free stroma was lacking myofibroblasts. Kellermann et al., (32) reported similar findings, thus stressing a close contact between epithelial and stromal cells is required for the induction of MF differentiation. This close proximity of tumor cells and myofibroblasts also supports the hypothesis that myofibroblasts can possibly be derived from the epithelial mesenchymal transition of the tumor cells. This hypothesis was proved in cases of human tongue carcinomas (26).

Additionally, inverse distribution relationship was observed with inflammatory cell infiltration in the present study. It was noticed that tumor stroma that was heavily infiltrated with inflammatory cells showed negative expression for \( \alpha \)-SMA. This was seen in the moderately well differentiated OSCC cases. This was in agreement with the study conducted by Rao S et al., (29), who stated that thick band of myofibroblasts in between tumor islands and inflammatory cells gave an impression of myofibroblasts being a barrier. These findings may point to the fact that the presence of stromal myofibroblasts may be dependent on the inflammatory cell infiltration and amount of tumor stroma available for evaluation.

Statistical correlation was found to be non-significant among the 3 histological grades; well, moderately and poorly differentiated OSCC regarding \( \alpha \)-SMA expression. The results of the current study were consistent with the results reported by Kellermann et al., (32), Etemad et al., (33) and Ghandi et al., (34) who did not find positive correlation between OSCC histological grade of differentiation and the presence of myofibroblasts.

The present research also revealed that high \( \alpha \)-SMA staining index was seen in 44.4% of well differentiated OSCC and in 33.3% of poorly differentiated OSCC cases (Table 1). This was also found in other studies which mentioned that myofibroblasts formed thicker syncytium around tumor islands in well-differentiated tumors while in poorly differentiated ones, they were loosely arranged throughout stroma. In contrary to the present observations, Gupta et al., (30) and Bhattacharjee et al., (35) found that the expression of \( \alpha \)-SMA was higher in the poorly differentiated OSCC than in other grades with non-significant statistical difference. This discrepancy could be due to different methodology used for the evaluation of myofibroblasts as myofibroblasts’ count in their studies was only used for evaluation whereas in the present study the staining intensity was also used. These findings suggested that the formation and differentiation of myofibroblasts are induced somehow in the invasive stage of OSCC irrespective of tumor cell differentiation (33).

In the present study, expression of \( \alpha \)-SMA staining index was significantly higher in OSCC with LN metastasis when compared to OSCC without LN involvement. Similar results were found by Smitha et al., (20), Kellermann et al., (32) and Sidhara et al., (36).

The current study was in agreement with that of Seifi et al., (37) and Rao S J et al., (29) and Vered et al., (26) regarding the distribution patterns where focal pattern predominated in OSCC without LN involvement, while network pattern predominated in OSCC with LN metastasis. However, no significant association was observed between the patterns of MF distribution and the LN status.

In the current study, \( \alpha \)-SMA was found to be expressed in areas surrounding the tumor islands in all lymph nodes with metastasis. This immune-expression pattern of \( \alpha \)-SMA was described in lymph nodes associated with other carcinomas such as colorectal carcinoma (38) and intra hepatic cholangiocarcinoma (39). It was proved that myofibroblasts are substantially activated when metastases reach the lymph nodes. This strongly suggested that the metastatic tumor cells attempt to recreate the microenvironment found in the primary tumors (40). In LN's without metastasis, a rim of myofibroblasts was seen within the capsule surrounding the non-metastatic lymph nodes, but not within the nodes. This was in accordance with other studies on myofibroblasts’ site in lymph node (10, 40).

CONCLUSION

The presence of myofibroblasts was significantly higher in OSCC with LN metastasis compared to OSCC without LN involvement, thus myofibroblasts may be used as a predictive marker for LN metastasis in OSCC. Further studies including...
patient follow up may support the possibility of using myofibroblasts as a prognostic marker.
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