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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: One of the most frequent facial fractures is an orbital fracture, and multiple wall restoration is frequently required following high-energy trauma. Correct planning, thorough surgical dissection, and appropriate selection of the kind, size, and shape of the reconstruction material are all necessary for a successful outcome. The appropriate material to use to restore the orbital floor and walls is still up for debate. For that aim, numerous materials have been described from various sources. The ultimate goals involve treating the bony orbital deficiency while restoring anatomy, volume, function, and esthetics. While each type of material has benefits and drawbacks, the ability to fulfill those.

AIM OF THE STUDY: This study evaluated the difference in the bone density after orbital floor fracture reconstruction with titanium mesh coated with natural nanohydroxyapatite by electrophoretic deposition versus conventional titanium mesh.

PATIENTS & METHODS: This study was carried out clinically on 12 adult patients with fractures of the orbital floor that should be treated with internal fixation and open reduction. The patients were divided into 2 groups, (group A): patients where the reconstruction was done using coated titanium mesh with hydroxyapatite nanocrystals and (group B): the repair and reconstruction of the defect was using conventional titanium mesh.

RESULTS: The radiographic differences in bone density between the study and control groups were statistically significant.

CONCLUSION: The ongoing study displayed better bone density outcomes in reconstructed orbital floor defects with coated titanium mesh when compared to uncoated titanium mesh.
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INTRODUCTION

Maxillofacial fractures impact the frontal, zygomatic, palate, lacrimal, nasal incise in addition to the maxillary bone (1). Major blunt trauma is a common cause of the majority of maxillofacial fractures, which is frequently caused by car crashes, height falls, or animal fights (2). The maxillofacial frame's exposed anatomic placement makes it vulnerable to traumatic injury, and collisions where fragmented and displaced fractures are possible with high impact forces (3). The frontal sinus and nasal cavity are large air-filled areas that are surrounded by the maxillofacial bones, which are thin and wedged between strong bones like the cranial base and the jaw (3).
maxillofacial fractures caused in the Vietnamese War. The procedure has since been improved and is now frequently used for a wide variety of maxillofacial surgeries (6,7). As it is biologically compatible, malleable, high in strength, low weight, widely available, minute inflammatory reaction, and imaging artifact, titanium is the perfect material for maxillofacial reconstruction (8,9).

Despite the fact that titanium has been widely employed in clinical contexts, such as dental and orthopedic implants, the nature of titanium alloy is biologically inert and lacks osteoinductivity for bone tissue, a satisfactory bioactivity performance was not always attained upon contact with the bone (8).

The osseointegration process has been optimized in recent years for metallic implants and to avoid bacterial attachment to their surfaces (10). The osseointegration of titanium and related alloys may be improved by the deposition of bioactive coatings with controlled surface topography. It is well known that collagen, water, and nanohydroxyapatite make up the majority of the complex tissue that makes up bone (10). Hydroxyapatite nanocrystals (HA) resembles the natural intracellular matrix of bone due to its biocompatibility, bioactivity, and osteoconductivity, also it is indistinguishable from the mineral phase of human bone tissue. By strengthening connections between the bone mineral phase, it can enhance proteins and bone tissue cells adhesion and is actively interfere in the bone matrix metabolism (10,11).

Because of the poor mechanical properties of HA, it has been utilized as a coating on metallic material’s surfaces to combine the substrate’s strength and hardness with HA’s bioactivity. It's also been proposed that applying HA coatings to metal can improve its corrosion resistance and lessen metallic ion release while also enhancing its bone bonding capacity (12,13).

A hydroxyapatite nano-crystalline bone graft has been presented for intrabony defect augmentation treatments. It has osteoconductivity, biore absorbability, and intimate touch as advantages. It's customary to describe a material containing nanostructures as having a lot of molecules on its surface. When used as a bone graft substitute in both human and animal applications, crucial size deficiencies quickly healed (12-14). By stimulating osteoblast activity, HA nanocrystals bond to bone and promote bone repair. It's been used to treat periimplantitis and ridge augmentation (15).

A variety of coating techniques, such as plasma spraying, ion beam dynamic mixing, sol-gel, and pulse laser deposition, have been investigated to coat the surface of the metallic substrate with HA nanocrystals. Some of the drawbacks of such processes include uneven coating creation across geometrically complex surfaces, thermal breakdown of HA during the high temperature process, low crystallinity, sluggish process, and poor coating adhesion to substrates. (16). As a result, there has been an increase in interest in the use of various approaches in recent years, such as the electrophoretic deposition (EPD) procedure (16,17).

The electrophoretic deposition is a quick and low-cost procedure that has several advantages over competing methods. The method's simplicity, great recreatability, constrain the coating thickness, temperature, capacity to form a laminate, and lastly usefulness for therapeutic uses are only a few of the benefits (17). EPD is commonly used in surgery to coat titanium with HA to enhance osseointegration and interactivity between bone and the titanium. Additionally, titanium can be coated with HA to improve biocompatibility and bone remodeling. (18). Despite the fact that numerous researchers have researched the result of EPD of HA on titanium, no studies on its consequence on titanium mesh have been found (18). As a result, the purpose of this work was to estimate the influence and mechanical properties of EPD of HA nanocrystals on titanium mesh.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study is a Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial, that will be set-up and reported according to the CONSORT guidelines. Eligible patients will be allocated randomly into 2 equal groups with 6 patients in each group according to the titanium mesh that will be used in reconstruction by simple randomization using computer generated random numbers. Participants were chosen from Alexandria University Teaching Hospital's Emergency Ward. The Faculty of Dentistry at Alexandria University's Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department performed the operations on the chosen patients. Group 1: (Study group) was subjected to reconstruction or defect repair utilizing titanium mesh covered with HA nanocrystals.

Group 2: (Control group) patient that was subjected to reconstruction using uncoated titanium mesh. Sample size was estimated assuming 5% alpha error and 80% study power. The mean gained bone density after 3 months using titanium mesh without grafting was 494.6 HU (20) and it was estimated to be 324.5 HU for titanium mesh with particulate bone graft. (21) Based on comparison of two independent means and SD=78 (22). Total sample size = Number per group x Number of groups = 6 x 2 = 12 patients, with the minimum sample size calculated to be 5 patients per group, increased to 6 patients to make up for lost to follow up instances.

**Informed Consent**

Informed written consent was obtained from all participating patients after explaining the procedure, possible complications, and their rights to withdraw from the study. The Ethics committee of the Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University, approved the study.
Inclusion criteria
1. Age groups from 16 to 60 years old for both sexes.
2. According to cordeiro’s classification limited size defects (23).
4. Pure orbital fractures unilateral or bilateral or in conjunction with other facial fractures (24).

Exclusion criteria
1. Fractured bones with infections
2. Long-term systemic illnesses
3. Soft tissue defects
4. Patients with burn injuries
5. Patients who have carcinomas and sarcomas in their oral cavities.
6. Patients declined to participate.
7. Load bearing sites.

Materials
8. Titanium mesh and screws (Medi- Tec Company, Cairo, Egypt).
10. Computed tomography (CT) device (Ingenuity Core; Philips Medical Systems, Cleveland, OH).
11. Ultraviolet (UV)-curable photopolymer resin (eResin-PLA, ESUN, Wuhan, Shenzhen, China. esun3d.net).
12. Stereolithography (SLA) 3D-printer with its post-curing unit (Sony SCS 8100. Manufactured by Sony; Zhou-Zi St., Nei Hu, Taipei 114, Taiwan).

Preparation of the titanium mesh:
The nanohydroxyapatite was synthesized in the Institute of Graduate Studies and Research, Alexandria, Egypt and the titanium mesh was coated with hydroxyapatite nanocrystals using electrophoretic deposition (EPD) at the Chemistry Laboratories, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University.

Ethanol was used to clean the titanium mesh, and the plates were then immersed in pure butanol (El-Nassr medicinal chemical company, Alexandria, Egypt) for 24 hours. Natural nano-hydroxyapatite was used to make a 5% (wt/wt) suspension.

Nanohydroxyapatite has a porosity of 60-80% and a diameter of 10-60 nm. Pure butanol was combined with 5 gms of nano-hydroxyapatite. To avoid air bubbles, ultrasonication of the suspension for one hour. The EPD procedure was carried out in electrophoretic deposition apparatus.

Sintering was done in a vented oven at 1000°C for 6 hours, and the samples were sterilized by gamma radiation at 2.5 M rad at the Nuclear Energy Organization in Cairo before being used in our investigation. (Figure 1)

Intervention
I. Presurgical phase
Preoperative assessment was performed including history taking, intaioral and extra-oral clinical examination and radiological evaluation using CT scan with axial, coronal, sagittal and 3D reconstruction views. Preoperative virtual treatment planning and the design process of the 3D printed reduction guide was done. (Figure 2)

II. Surgical phase
Open reduction and internal fixation was performed for all the patients. For Group (1) the coated titanium mesh was adapted and placed in the orbital floor for reconstruction of the defect. For Group (2) the conventional uncoated titanium mesh was adapted and placed in the orbital floor for reconstruction of the defect. (Figure 3)

III. Follow-up Phase
The follow-up schedule was 24 hours, 1 week, 4 weeks, and 3 months postoperatively. The clinical follow-up included evaluation of the infra orbital nerve sensory function and postoperative ocular complications such as enophthalmous or limited eye movements. Postoperative CT scan was taken within 2 days after surgery to evaluate adequate reduction of the fractured segments. (Figure 4)

Statistical analysis
Version 20.0 of the IBM SPSS software package (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) was used to evaluate the data once they were loaded into the computer. Quantitative data were described using range (minimum and maximum), mean, and standard deviation. At the 5% level, significance of the results was determined.

The used tests were
1 - Student t-test for quantifiable variables with a normal distribution are used to compare the two study groups.
2 - ANOVA Use the Post Hoc Test (modified Bonferroni) with repeated measures to compare more than two periods or stages for normally distributed quantitative variables.

Figure (1): a-Titanium Mesh, b- natural nanohydroxyapatite, c- electrophoretic deposition process, d- vented oven for sintering
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Figure (2): (a) axial view of CT scan showing floor fracture of the left orbit, (b) coronal view of CT scan showing orbital floor fracture of the left orbit, (c) preoperative photograph showing signs of orbital fracture, (d) worm eye view showing depression in the traumatized site due to fracture, (e) stereolithograph model with adapted titanium mesh.

Figure (3): (a) Intraoperative photograph showing orbital floor fracture, (b) intraoperative photograph showing placement of the coated titanium mesh for reconstruction of the orbital floor.

Figure (4): (a) immediate post operative axial view of CT scan of reconstructed orbital floor with coated titanium mesh, (b) axial view of CT scan of one month postoperative of reconstructed orbital floor with coated titanium mesh, (c) axial view of CT scan of 3 month postoperative of reconstructed orbital floor with coated titanium mesh.

RESULTS

Epidemiology and demographic data
The age of the patients was between 19 and 49 years old, with a mean age of 28.9 ± 8.62. For group A, the mean age was 28 ± 10.55; for group B, it was 29.83 ± 5.98. Physical violence was the etiologic factor in 25% of cases, while road traffic accidents (RTA) accounted for 58.3% of cases. Falls accounted for 16.6% of cases. (Table 1)

Radiographical results
Postoperative measurements showed statistically significant difference in the bone density between the immediate postoperative and the 3 months postoperative CT scans when measured using OsiriX software. The improve in the bone density in the immediate postoperative the mean range was 187.8 ± 37.63 for the study group and for the control group 145.3 ± 72.73. While the increase in the bone density was significantly increased in the 3 months postoperative with a mean range of 985.3 ± 144.4 for the study group and 365.8 ± 70.43 for the control group. (Table 2) (Figure 5)

The comparison between the preoperative the immediate postoperative and the 3 months postoperative was statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05. (Table 3) (Figure 6)

CT scans showed good bone formation in the study cases where the natural nanohydroxyapatite coated titanium mesh was used in the reconstruction of the orbital floor within 3 months more than the control where the conventional titanium mesh was used.
Methods

Patients were divided into two groups. Group A (n = 6) included patients treated with prefabricated titanium mesh coated with nanohydroxyapatite and group B (n = 6) included patients treated with prefabricated titanium mesh.

Results

The study revealed that prefabricated titanium mesh coated with nanohydroxyapatite produced significantly better results in terms of bone healing when compared to prefabricated titanium mesh. The study concluded that prefabricated titanium mesh coated with nanohydroxyapatite is a more effective option for reconstructing the facial skeleton in maxillofacial trauma patients.

Discussion

The use of prefabricated titanium mesh coated with nanohydroxyapatite has been shown to be an effective and repeatable method for reconstructing the facial skeleton in maxillofacial trauma patients. The study's results indicate that this method is effective in repairing maxillofacial defects and can lead to improved outcomes for patients.

Conclusion

Prefabricated titanium mesh coated with nanohydroxyapatite is a promising method for reconstructing the facial skeleton in maxillofacial trauma patients. Further research is needed to evaluate the long-term effects of this method and to compare it with other reconstructive techniques.
reconstructive alternative for orbital fractures with careful patient selection and application (27). Although titanium (Ti) and its alloys are the most extensively used materials in dentistry, due to their superior biocompatibility, corrosion resistance, excellent mechanical qualities, and efficiency (28), one of its major drawbacks is its inability to form a link with living host tissue (18). The application of HA as a surface coating on mechanically resistant metallic implants, including titanium, in an effort to facilitate bone attachment to the implant, has thus been one of the most significant advancements in bone repair during the past 30 years (29).

Because of its special qualities, such as the capacity to chemically bond to bone, the inability to cause inflammation, and the capacity to enhance bone induction through direct osteoblastic activity, the nanohydroxyapatite has been widely used as an additive material, in order to enhance existing and extensively used dental materials according to a study conducted in 2020 by Peterssen et al (30).

As delineated by Avcu et al in 2019 (31), EPD was chosen as the coating method of choice because it has various edge over different techniques, including a quick coating time (2-3 min), good recreatability, repeatability, low cost, and ensures process speed. This method also allows for the control of coating thickness, homogeneity, and deposition rate. In agreement with Rasouli et al in 2018 (32) and Taranu et al in 2022 (33), overlaying physiologically active compounds over biologically inert metallic implants, such as hydroxyapatite, aims to speed up bone production and improve mechanical qualities during the early stages of osseointegration. Kaur described electrophoresis-based HA deposition as the best technology for coating uneven surfaces in 2019 (34).

Regarding the clinical follow-up of this study, all patients showed uneventful healing with no evidence of infection, allergies or wound dehiscence in any of the patients. This proves the excellent biocompatibility of both the titanium and the HA nanocrystals. In both the immediate postoperative time and the post-operative period, there was a statistically significant difference in the radiographic examination between the study and the control groups.

Osteoconduct and osteoinduction of HA scaffolds are well known, according to Wang, Cao, Hua et al. in 2022 (35). Osteoblastic cell adhesion, development, and differentiation are supported by HA surfaces, and new bone is produced by creeping substitution from nearby living bone. The scaffolds are seeded with cells that will create new centers for bone formation, such as osteoblasts and mesenchymal cells that have the capacity to commit to an osteoblastic lineage, before they are implanted. This is how osteogenesis is finally triggered (35).

Hydroxyapatite nanocrystals coatings have traditionally been believed of as osteoconductive. HA coatings have been proven to enhance new bone growth when there are gaps of 1-2 mm between the coated implant and the surrounding bone as well as on an implant surface with a line-to-line fit. Additionally, the HA covering reduces the development of fibrous tissue that would ordinarily happen as a result of tiny motions of an uncoated titanium implant (36).

In addition to offering a method to speed up osseointegration, hydroxyapatite coatings also serve to seal the interface against wear particles and macrophage-associated periprosthetic osteolysis (37,38). While some studies have found no changes between coated and untreated implants, the majority have indicated enhanced fixation with a reduction in the number of radiolucencies around a HA coated titanium alloy (39,40).

The study’s overall findings showed that the study group’s bone healing and osteoblastic activity were superior to those of the control group and occurred more quickly. These radiography results demonstrated that HA nano-crystals coated titanium mesh may be used as a suitable bone substitute and reconstructive material and that its osteoconductive qualities can encourage bone regeneration in bone defects.

CONCLUSION
This study demonstrated better bone density outcomes in reconstructed orbital floor defects using titanium mesh coated with HA nanocrystals by EPD after 3months postoperatively.
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