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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) accounts for the sixth most prevalent malignant neoplasm worldwide, representing 90% of all oral cancers. Despite advances in the detection and treatment, the unsatisfactory prognosis for OSCC has remained stable for decades. To date, cancer research is focused on improving cancer treatment methods using immunotherapy. The Toll-like receptors family (TLR) has served that purpose. These are a family of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that represent essential components of the host’s immune responses. The expression of some TLRs, including TLR7, in different tumors has been confirmed in various studies. The clarification of the TLR7 expression and role in OSCC may thus provide new strategies and prospects for more effective cancer diagnosis and treatment.

OBJECTIVES: To evaluate the expression of TLR7 in human OSCC and correlate it with the different histopathological grades of the tumor.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: The TLR7 expression was examined in 10 normal mucosal and 30 OSCC tissue samples. The immunohistochemical (IHC) staining with the anti-TLR7 antibody was performed using the Labeled Strept-Avidin Biotin complex method (LSAB).

RESULTS: TLR7 was expressed in all OSCC cases and showed significant difference in its expression among the different grades of the tumor, with a higher expression noted in the more differentiated tumors.

CONCLUSIONS: The expression of TLR7 in OSCC may be used as a prognostic marker.
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INTRODUCTION

Oral cancer (OC), a subtype of head and neck cancer, is a major public health concern and is one of the top 10 most commonly occurring cancers worldwide. Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) accounts for more than 90% of all OC cases, and is more prevalent in the developing countries. It represents the 6th most common cancer worldwide, and the 4th ranked cancer in the Eastern Mediterranean region (1-3). Despite advances in the diagnosis and treatment modalities, the 5-year survival rate for OSCC has remained largely unchanged for decades. The etiology of the disease is multifactorial. It is known that chronic inflammation is largely unchanged for decades. The etiology of the disease (1-3). Despite advances in the diagnosis and treatment, the unsatisfactory prognosis for OSCC has remained stable for decades. To date, cancer research is focused on improving cancer treatment methods using immunotherapy. The Toll-like receptors family (TLR) has served that purpose. These are a family of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that represent essential components of the host’s immune responses. The expression of some TLRs, including TLR7, in different tumors has been confirmed in various studies. The clarification of the TLR7 expression and role in OSCC may thus provide new strategies and prospects for more effective cancer diagnosis and treatment.

In this context, the Toll-like Receptors (TLRs) are emerging as the key players in eliciting the host’s inflammatory responses, where they support the homeostasis. These are one of the pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), which detect the exogenous stimuli of harmful invading pathogens or endogenous danger from injured or dead cells through pathogen- or damage-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs/DAMPs), respectively. Nearly thirteen TLRs have been identified in mammals so far, of which ten have been reported in humans (TLR1-10) (6,7). Although TLRs are mainly expressed by both the innate and adaptive immune cells, their expression is also observed in a plethora of non-immune cells, especially those that constitute the physical barriers, such as the non-malignant keratinocytes, fibroblasts, as well as in malignant epithelial cells (8,9).

Although TLRs have been extensively studied for their central role in the immune responses against microbial infection, plenty of studies have uncovered their controversial role in tumor biology. The TLR signaling can either dampen the anti-tumor functions of the immune cells in a way that promotes cancer progression, or may enhance the tumor suppression by inducing an immune activation (10,11). Several reports suggested the involvement of TLRs in different cancers, including those of the head and neck, where they showed complex and contradictive roles. Since the oral cavity harbors many microbes, the oral epithelial cells also express functional TLRs, which may play an important role in OC. Yet, studies related to the association of different TLRs in the progression of various grades of OSCC are very limited (12,13).

TLR7, a receptor that recognizes viral single-stranded RNA, is located in the endosomal compartments of plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs), B lymphocytes, natural killer cells, and virally infected cells (14). It has also been reported to be expressed by a variety of cancer cells.
TLR7 shows a versatile behavior in the tumor microenvironment with either protumorigenic or antineoplastic effects. In various cancers, TLR7 has been suggested to have either a prognostic role in in vivo studies, or a functional role in in vitro studies (15-18). However, the distinct expression and function of TLR7 in head and neck tumors, especially OSCC, are unclear.

To the best of our knowledge, no reports in the English literature are available for the association of TLR7 expression with OSCC in the Egyptian population. This study was thus conducted to examine and compare the IHC expression of TLR7 in the different histopathological grades of OSCC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study material

The current study was performed in the Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University after gaining the approval of the Research Ethics Committee. Biopsy samples from the primary oral tumors (n=30) were collected from the patients during the standard surgical procedures. All patients were operated on in the Cranio-Maxillofacial and Plastic Surgery Department. The control group included specimens of the normal oral tissue (n=10), which were obtained from patients during surgical removal of non-tumor treatment such as the removal of asymptomatic third molars. The biopsies of the patients and the bio-archiving were in compliance with the Code of Professional Ethics for Dentistry adopted by the Alexandria University, Faculty of Dentistry.

Histopathological and immunohistochemical analysis

The specimens were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, processed and embedded in paraffin wax using the conventional procedures. Three serial sections of each tissue block were then sliced into 3-4 μm thickness and coded numerically with no other identifying features placed on the slides. One section was stained with the routine hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) to confirm the diagnosis of the tumor grading by at least two experienced pathologists in the Oral Pathology Department at the Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University.

The other two sections were stained immunohistochemically using the Labeled Strept-Avidin Biotin complex method (LSAB) (19). The primary polyclonal rabbit anti-human TLR 7 antibody (ab45371; Abcam, USA) was applied to the slides using the optimal concentration for the immunostaining as recommended by the supplier and incubated for 2 h at 37°C. All sections were then examined and analyzed using a light microscope with an attached digital camera. The intensity of the immunostaining was quantified in terms of both the mean area percent (AP) and the mean optical density (OD) by the computer image analyzer software (NIH, USA).

Statistical analysis

Statistical package for social sciences version 20.0 (SPSS 20.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was carried out for the statistical analyses and calculations of data (20). The differences in the mean OD and AP in OSCC cases were calculated using the one-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Post Hoc (Tukey) tests. Any P-values equal to or less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All the data are expressed as mean ± SD (standard deviation).

RESULTS

Clinical Results

The current study included 30 patients with OSCC. The mean age of the patients was 57.9 years (± 9.13), where the youngest patient was 42 and the oldest one was 72 years old at the time of surgery. The gender distribution was 16 (53.3%) and 14 (46.7%) for males and females, respectively. The majority of the cases were located on the lateral side of the tongue (70%), whereas the labial mucosa was the least site of occurrence (3%).

Histopathological Results

Based on the microscopical examination, the total 40 samples included in this study were classified into ten cases of normal oral mucosa (NOM), and 30 cases of the various histological grades of OSCC, of which 33.3% (n=10) were well differentiated, 53.4% (n=16) were moderately differentiated, and 13.3% (n=4) were poorly differentiated.

Immunohistochemical Results

The IHC staining of all NOM and OSCC samples was performed using the anti-TLR7 antibody. It showed that TLR7 was expressed in all (100%) the assessed samples, localized in the cytoplasm, nucleus and nuclear membrane, yet with varying intensities.

The NOM cases were positive for the anti-TLR7 antibody. To analyze the results, the surface epithelia were divided into three regions: lower (basal), middle (spinous), and upper (superficial). The majority of the samples showed a weak diffuse cytoplasmatic staining along the same particular region of the epithelium. The common finding in all the NOM samples was that the TLR7 expressing cells were most frequently notable in the basal and parabasal cell layers, where the expression tapered off towards the more superficial epithelial layers (Figure 1).

All the studied three categories of OSCC cases showed a notable variable positive TLR7 expression, both in terms of the intensity and distribution of the positive epithelial cells.

The well differentiated OSCC (WDSCC) cells showed diffuse, but relatively strong, positive cytoplasmic immunosignals of TLR7 in the malignant epithelial cells, as well as in the keratin pearls (Figure 2).
In all the **moderately differentiated OSCC (MDSCC)** cases, the cells showed a strong positive TLR7 staining intensity, which was clearly detectable in the invasive squamous cells present in the stroma. The pattern of TLR7 expression was mainly cytoplasmic, with some nuclear and nuclear membranous expression (Figure 3).

In the **poorly differentiated OSCC (PDSCC)**, there was a positive faint to moderate cytoplasmic and nuclear membranous immunostaining in the highly anaplastic malignant epithelial cells. Abnormal mitotic figures as well as apoptotic bodies could be detected (Figure 4).

Table (1): Comparison between the TLR 7 Receptor Immunoreexpression in the Different Histological Grades of OSCC According to the Mean of the Area Percent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal Oral Mucosa</th>
<th>Well differentiated OSCC</th>
<th>Moderately differentiated OSCC</th>
<th>Poorly differentiated OSCC</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean of area percent</td>
<td>25.15 ± 2.602</td>
<td>67.54 ± 5.99</td>
<td>74.98 ± 4.23</td>
<td>45.4 ± 2.28</td>
<td>309.6*&lt;0.01*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. – Max.</td>
<td>22.81 – 29.30</td>
<td>60.14 – 78.73</td>
<td>65.72 – 79.08</td>
<td>42.2 – 47.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD.</td>
<td>25.15 ± 2.602</td>
<td>67.54 ± 5.99</td>
<td>74.98 ± 4.23</td>
<td>45.4 ± 2.28</td>
<td>309.6*&lt;0.01*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>24.15</td>
<td>66.56</td>
<td>76.31</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F : F value for ANOVA test, pairwise comparison bet. each 2 groups were done using Post Hoc Test (Tukey)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p : p value for comparing between the different groups</td>
<td>p1&lt;0.003*, p2&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p1: p value for comparing between well differentiated SCC and moderately differentiated SCC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2: p value for comparing between all other groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05
Table (2): Comparison between the TLR 7 Receptor Immunoexpression in the Different Histological Grades of OSCC According to the Mean of the Optical Density

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal Oral Mucosa</th>
<th>Well Differentiated OSCC</th>
<th>Moderately Differentiated OSCC</th>
<th>Poorly Differentiated OSCC</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Min. ~ Max.</td>
<td>18.87 ~ 27.54</td>
<td>43.7 ~ 63.02</td>
<td>63.47 ~ 78.4</td>
<td>19.8 ~ 34.6</td>
<td>161.56</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD.</td>
<td>22.55 ± 4.24</td>
<td>49.6 ± 5.98</td>
<td>70.38 ± 6.26</td>
<td>28.68 ± 6.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>22.29</td>
<td>48.13</td>
<td>70.67</td>
<td>31.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sig. bet. grps.: p1=0.005*, p2<0.001*

F: F value for ANOVA test, pairwise comparison bet. each 2 groups were done using Post Hoc Test (Tukey)
p1: p value for comparing between different groups
p2: p value for comparing between normal oral mucosa and poorly differentiated SCC
p*: p value for comparing between all other groups
*: Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05

DISCUSSION

TLRs are important components of the immune responses in the skin and mucosal tissues, and their altered or deregulated expression has been shown to affect the behavior of several tumors. The role of TLRs in cancer is controversial, as an increased expression and activation of these proteins may result in pro- or antitumorigenic effects depending on the context (6,21-23).

The present work clearly demonstrated a positive TLR7 expression in all the studied samples of the NOM, even though with no strong intensity. In this respect, our findings confirm and extend those reported by several authors, who described that the healthy epithelia, including oral tissues, express an almost full palette of functional TLRs (8,24-28). Since plenty of microflora and pathogenic bacteria exist in the different body epithelia, such as the oral, respiratory, and intestinal epithelial cells, they are therefore logically equipped with a cocktail of PRRs, particularly TLRs, even in the absence of stimulation by PAMPs or DAMPs (29,30).

In accordance with several reports in the literature, the current work revealed that in all sections of the NOM, the cells in the basal layer were more strongly labeled, where the TLR7 staining became gradually weaker towards the spinous and the stratum cornea. This higher content of TLRs in the basal layers may indicate the possibility that TLRs are first and mostly synthesized in the deeply located basal cells and that, while being transported upwards along the epithelial shedding cycle, their synthesis becomes diminished for a variety of reasons (25,30,31).

As for the OSCC sections, the results of the current work revealed a significantly higher TLR7 expression as compared to that of the healthy tissues. Since OSCC is a state of pathology, the immune system has already been evoked and activated as a result, which may explain the higher expression of TLRs to protect the already-diseased underlying tissue. TLR7 exhibits an endosomal location, which is in parallel with its ability to recognize the single-stranded RNA. It may be suggested that in OSCC, the oral tissue becomes more vulnerable to infections and thus becomes a target for the attack by the continuous bathing of oral microflora, which in turn may keep on stimulating a persistent TLR7 expression (14).

The results of the present work were in agreement with those of other studies. For instance, Park et al (32) reported the positive, yet variable, expression of various TLRs in OSCC cell lines and on tissue sections. TLR7 was among those TLRs that were strongly expressed. Moreover, Helminen et al (26) found a positive TLR7 expression in the esophageal adenocarcinoma, which was significantly increased as compared with its normal tissue counterpart.

The IHC staining for TLR7 was mainly cytoplasmic, and occasionally nuclear or nuclear membranous. This is consistent with the results of other studies, which also demonstrated the same expression pattern of TLR7 staining in normal or cancerous cells of different tissues. This finding suggests that it is somewhat likely that different TLRs may translocate to the nucleus. However, the role and function of nuclear translocation of TLRs remain speculative (27,33).

The oncological significance of TLRs seems controversial. The current work revealed a positive TLR7 staining in all the cases of WDSCC, MDSCC and PDSCC, with a significantly higher expression in the MDSCC followed by the WDSCC cases and a significantly lower expression in the PDSCC cases. Similar findings were independently postulated by Kotrashetti et al (31) and Zujun et al (34) during their study on different TLRs expressions (TLR4 and 9) in different grades of oral epithelial dysplasia and OSCC. Although some of their examined PDSCC cases were negative for the TLR immunostaining, they also documented a high TLR intensity staining in the WDSCC and MDSCC, and a low intensity in PDSCC. In contrast, Takala et al. (35) observed an increased TLR expression with an advancing tumor grade. Similarly, Ni et al (36) also revealed that the TLR7 expression was upregulated from the normal epithelium to dysplasia to OSCC, and that the high expression of TLR7 in tumor cells correlated to a poor tumor differentiation for OSCC patients. Moreover, Sheyhidin et al. (37) showed that the TLR7 expression was associated with a worse histological grade in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.

Noteworthy, the limited information available on the genetic and biological heterogeneity of OSCC has hampered the diagnosis and development of new therapeutic strategies. Considering the obvious versatility of the TLR expression by different tumor cells, two aspects are to be considered. On one hand, the upregulation of the TLR signaling could actively serve the tumor’s agenda, owing to its anti-apoptotic activity and promoting tumor progression. On the other hand, components of the TLR system may alternatively enhance the host’s immunity in the defense against the transforming malignant cells. Thus, activation of TLRs is considered a double-edged sword, and their role in OSCC remains elusive (11,21,23,38). A new shift is thus directed in pursuing TLR modulators (agonists or antagonists) in the anti-cancer immune research (39).
CONCLUSION

The methodology used in the current study, measuring the immunohistochemical expression of TLR7 in OSCC and correlating it with its various histological grades, should raise new questions on the pathobiological basis for this receptor. So, it can be concluded that TLR7 may have a role in the OSCC tumor biology and can be thus used as a potentially useful prognostic indicator.
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